To: Daly, Eric[Daly.Eric@epa.gov]; Hawk, Nora L CIV USARMY CELRL

(US)[Nora.L.Hawk@usace.army.mil]

From: Lisichenko, Peter

Sent: Mon 12/4/2017 1:44:02 PM

Subject: RE: Niagara Falls Boulevard site (CERCLIS ID NYN000206699),

Good Morning Nora,

I concur with Eric in that the material we are addressing does not migrate readily. It is a coarse gravelly material that was placed in its current location as backfill material. There may be other locations within the Niagara Falls area that had also received this material and we are currently working to identify these locations.

Best regards,

-Peter

Peter Lisichenko, P.G.
On-Scene Coordinator | U.S. EPA Region 2
Emergency and Remedial Response Division
Removal Action Branch
186 Exchange Street
Buffalo, New York 14204
347-276-6251 (cell/text)
lisichenko.peter@epa.gov

----Original Message-----

From: Daly, Eric

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 3:45 PM

To: Hawk, Nora L CIV USARMY CELRL (US) <Nora.L.Hawk@usace.army.mil>

Cc: Lisichenko, Peter < lisichenko.peter@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Niagara Falls Boulevard site (CERCLIS ID NYN000206699),

Good Afternoon Nora:

I am currently responding to Hurricane Maria in Puerto Rico. At first glance at your summary, I believe you are asking if the slag material deposited on our site will migrate. The answer is we have no proof that it does. Our assessment work has shown that it is a rocky slag material that stays in place. We have sampled outside the perimeter of the identified footprint and we have found background readings of our radiological parameters. I have also copied my partner on the site, Pete. Pete would you like to add anymore?

----Original Message----

From: Hawk, Nora L CIV USARMY CELRL (US) [mailto:Nora.L.Hawk@usace.army.mil]

Sent: Wednesday, November 29, 2017 4:39 PM

To: Daly, Eric <Daly.Eric@epa.gov>

Subject: Niagara Falls Boulevard site (CERCLIS ID NYN000206699),

Importance: High

Eric.

You are listed as the Site Contact for the subject site. I'm conducting an ECP Update at 9400 Porter Road in Niagara Falls (the former Armed Forces Reserve Center adjacent to the airport, and was wondering if this subject site had any potential to negatively affect the Reserve Center. The Center is about 0.3. miles to the north-northwest of the subject site and it's elevation is higher than the NFB site. Summary results on the EPA website do not indicate that contamination has migrated off site, but I wanted to check with you.

Thanks so much,

Nora L. Hawk, PE, PMP Environmental Program Manager USACE Louisville District (502) 315-6898 desk (502) 649-0537 mobile nora.l.hawk@usace.army.mil